Revenue (1949) Department

Consdl R/h. SEKLES.

G.O. NO. 961

Dated 17-8-49

P.32
E

[Despatch Abstract.]

Publics Servants - (Bellary)
Sm A. M Kolimah - Inclusion
in the list of Pakistan
Petition - Rejected

and Board's Proceedings Mis.213(C,, dated 5-3-49 which relate to the inclusion of names in the approved lists of Tahsildars and Deputy Tahsildars for Bellary District for 1947 and 1948 have been submitted to the Government with reference to their Memo.No.15326-C/49 dated 28-3-49. The Board's remarks on these petitioners in the Board's Proceedings mentioned above may be -perused..

for Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Madras
(with 2 petitions and 2 personal files).

PVV.7.5.

Confidential:

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Subject

BENJAMIN, SRI Z.N. and KOTRAYYA, SRI A.M. - (Bellary) - Cler
and Acting Deputy Tahsildar respectively - Inclusion of name
-in the approved lists of Deputy Tahsildars and Tahsildars -
Petition to Government - Submitted.

- -

Ref.No.D.2663/49-2(C).

Station:-Madras. Dated 7th May 1949.

From

K.N. Anantaraman Esq., I.C.S.,
Secretary, Board of Revenue, Madras.

..

V.N. Kudva Esq., I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue, Excise & Prohibiti
W.R.S. Satthianathan Esq., I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue & Commercial Taxes.

Read:- Petition from Sri Z.N. Benjamin dated 12-3-1949.
From the Collector of Bellary, Rc.A4-4262/49(C), date
14-4-49.
Petition from Sri A.M.Kotrayya, dated 23-3-49.
From the Collector of Bellary, Rc.No.A4-4189/49(C),
dated 14-4-49 and 27-4-49.

--

REFERENCE:

Submitted to the Government.
2. Board's Proceedings Mis.49(C), dated 19-1-1949 and
p.t.o.

"Revenue Department".

From
Sri.A.S uryanar Naid , I.A.S.,
Collector-in-charge, Bellary.

To
The Secretary to the Board of
Revenue, Madras.

Rc.No.M. 4262/48. (Confdl) dated 14.4.1949.

Sir,

Sub: BENJAMIN (Sri.Z.N) Clerk - Revenue Establishment - Bellary - petition for inclusion in the list of Dy. Tahsildars - Submitted.

Ref: Board's reference No.D.2663.48.(Confdl) dated 8.1.1949.

I ENCLOSE the petition of Sri.Z. .Benjamin forwarded to me for remarks with the Board's reference cited above. The personal file of the petitioner was submitted to the Board in some other connection and it may kindly be looked into. I invite Board's attention to the remarks of the then Collector against the name of the petitioner in the annual proposals for 1948. The petitioner no doubt acted as Deputy Tahsildar under Rule 3.A. and Rule 9 (a) in several capacities but his work as Taluk Supply Officer, Harpanalli, was found unsatisfactory. He now stands reverted for want of vacancy and would be absorbed in the emergency appointments in his turn according to the Communal Rotation. The fact that he has put in temporary service in the cadre of Deputy Tahsildar for over two years is a point in his favour.

The personal file of the petitioner was also called for by the Board while considering the annual proposals for 1948 but his case does not appear to have been considered favourably. The Board has since called for some of personal files out of which the petitioner's is one.

Collector-in-charge.

shr-16.4.1949.

"Revenue Department"

From
Sri.A.Suryarao Naidu, B.A.,
Collector-in-charge,
Bellary.

To
The Secretary,
Board of Rev., Madras.

Rc.No.A.4.4189/49.(Confdl), dated 14.4.1949.

Sir,

Sub: KOTRAYYA (SRI.A.M.) - Deputy Tahsildar, Bellary District - Petition for inclusion in the approved list of Tahsildars - Submitted.

Ref: 1) Petition dated 23.3.1949 from Sri.A.M.Kotrayya.

2) N.Dis.No.472/49. dated 29.3.1949 from the Dist. Supply Officer, B e l l a r y.

I enclose the petition of Sri.A.M.Kotrayya, acting Deputy Tahsildar in this district for inclusion of his name in the approved list of Tahsildars. The personal file of the petitioner is being sent separately.

2. The petitioner states that he is an approved Probationer in the category of Deputy Tahsildars in Bellary District having completed his probation on the A.N. of 9.8.1946, and that his claims for inclusion in the approved list of Tahsildars were not considered in the years 1946, 1947, and 1948. He also states that some of his juniors in the cadre of deputy Tahsildars were included in the list of Tahsildars during 1947 and 1948 while his name has been kept back inspite of the fact he belongs to a backward community viz. Jangam community. He, therefore, requests the Government to consider his claims favourably and to order the inclusion of his name in the approved list of Tahsildars restoring his seniority in the same list.

3. The post of a Tahsildar is one of selection category for which selection is made on grounds of merit and ability, seniority being considered where merit and ability are approximately equal. The very fact that the petitioner's name was not recommended all these years for inclusion in the approved list of Tahsildars is

is sufficient record. In the year 1946, the Special Officer, T.B.Project, under whom the petitioner was directly working did not make any definite recommendation in the petitioner's case. Hence his case ~~was~~ does not appear to have been considered for inclusion in the list of Tahsildars by the then Collector while submitting the annual proposals for 1946. In 1947 also the Special Officer, T.B.Project under whom he continued to work did not recommend the petitioner's case and hence it was not considered by the Collector. In 1948, the Special Officer recommended the petitioner's case and it was considered by the Collector on a competitive merit along with those qualified for inclusion in the Tahsildar's list. The remarks of the then Collector reported in the annual proposals for 1948 may kindly be perused to know why the petitioner's case was not recommended.

4. The petitioner's contention that Sri.B. Dhananjaya Rao Naidu and Sri.K.Krishnamurthi Mudaliar who were included in the list are his juniors, is baseless. Both of them are seniors to the petitioner in the category of Deputy Tahsildars and the petitioner was also informed accordingly in this office L.Dis. No.2661/49.A.4. dated 18.3.1949 (Confdl). Sri.Y.A.Sreeram and Sri.K.Narayanappa who were included in the list for 1948 are no doubt his juniors but they have been included in the list on grounds of merit. The petitioner's allegation that his case was not duly considered as he was in the Special Department, cannot be accepted. The cases of all the qualified persons were duly considered on grounds of merit and ability at the time of submission of annual proposals.

5. The Petitioner's plea that he belongs to a back-ward community and that his name ought to have been included in the list of Tahsildars cannot be recognised. The communal rotation does not apply in the selection of candidates for inclusion in the list of Tahsildars. No doubt the petitioner

belongs to Jangam caste which is a priestly community among the Lingayat caste. The Jangams in this district are priests or Gurus of Lingayats and are considered to be superior to Lingayats. The Lingayat community is not included in the list of Back-ward communities. It is, therefore, very much doubtful whether Jangams of this district can be classified under a back-ward community as they are not really back-ward. Any way the petitioner cannot seek shelter under communal rotation, as it does not apply in the Selection of candidates for inclusion in the list of Tahsildars.

Collector-in-charge.

shr/16.4.1949.

From: Z.N. Benjamin,

Special Dy. Thasildar,

T.B.P. (W and R),

A D O N I.

(BELLARY DIST)

D2663-D2

To: The Secretary to Government,

Revenue Department, Fort St. George,

M A D R A S.

Thro': THE PROPER CHANNEL.

Sir,

Sub:- PUBLIC SERVICE - R.S.S. BELLARY DIST. DY. THASILDARS - SELECTION OF -

*****

I beg to bring the following few lines to the kind consideration of the Government for favourable orders:-

I am a permanent Government servant in the Revenue Ministerial Service in Bellary Dist. having put in nearly 10 years of permanent service. By 1945 I passed all the departmental tests required for a Dy. Thasildar. Immediately after my R.I's course in 1943 I was taken to the Collector's office and I served under Messrs. I.M. Fraser, P.S.V. Ayyar and R.D. Paul. As they found my work satisfactory I was promoted as an U.D.C. on 19-3-1945 and subsequently promoted as Deputy Tahsildar on 14-2-1946. Since then I have been discharging my duties to the entire satisfaction of my superior officers.

I submit that my Juniors who are still acting clerks who held no responsible position and who have qualified recently have been selected as Dy. Tahsildars overlooking my claims - who has put in 10 years of permanent service, has been promoted as U.D.C. 4 years back and has been acting as Deputy Tahsildar since 14-2-1946. The reason

The reason I am told now (on 22-1-49) was that my work as T.S.O. was 'Bad' and that that has stood in my way all these 3 years. It is a pity it was not communicated to me all these days. I would have represented that the 4 months service as T.S.O. can hardly stand in the way of 10 years of excellent service. No charge was framed against me (thereby affording me an oppertunity to defend myself) nor punishment awarded - on which such remarks could be based - vide footnote to the confidential sheet.

The failure to follow the procedure has done a great harm in that it deprived me of explaining my so called "Bad work" I submit that the year 1946-1947 was very bad for procurement and to achieve better results the ~~doubtful~~ expediency of punishing the T.S.O's was resorted to. The result was out of 10 T.S.Os 8 were punished. I was one of those eight. The punishment was reversion as I was acting under rule 3A and as the appointment is said to be at the Collector's pleasure. I submit that in spite of the worst conditions prevailing in the Taluk under my charge and in the short time I was there (From 3-11-46 to 6-3-47) I was able to get almost all the A,B Registers got prepared took promises along with the Tahsildar. The conditions were worst as there was hail-storm of great intensity coupled with unseasonal rains, resulting in petrifying the gathered harvest and knocking out the flowers of the standing millet crop. To judge my work as bad within those 4 months is really hard and further to withhold ~~from~~ the promotion cumulatively for 1946, 1947 and 1948 on the strength of this 4 months service is the hardest punishment that can be inflicted on an otherwise excellent and loyal Government Servant. The Collector with a view to test me, however, posted me to the same place with a direction to watch my work in his reference No. 6152/47-A-4 19-6-1947. The R.D.O's (Sri K.M. Kariappa and Janab Ahmed Saheb) watched my work (field, office and procurement works) and reported

my work (Field, office and procurement works) and reported that my work was good. Further Sri V.S. Venkata Char my present Dy. Collector under whom I am serving for the past one year has also seen my work and has spoken very well of my work. In spite of this the Collector has been punishing me continuously every year by not proposing my name solely on account of the alleged bad work. Reversion was sufficient punishment but again in 1946, 1947 and 1948 he has punished me (worse than stoppage of increment cumulatively) by not proposing for my inclusion in spite of the Hon'ble the Revenue Minister's statement referred to below. This sort of punishment is the hardest ever known.

In this connection I invite the attention of the Government to the Hon'ble the Revenue Ministers statement on the floor of the Assembly regarding such cases. He has laid down that if an officer was found unfit under one officer he will be tried under one or more officers and if they find his work satisfactory he would be promoted (Vide Assembly, proceedings on 22-10-1948 as reported in "THE HINDU" dated 26-10-48 last page) The Collector and the Board have ~~ignored~~ failed to implent this principle. ~~also.~~ Hence this appeal.

I have been acting as temporary Deputy Tahsildar for more than 2½ years (more than 1½ years under rule 8A and for year under rule 9(1)(A) and as per the instructions of Government my case has to be preferred to others in the matter of inclusion in the list of Dy. Tahsildars. The Collector and the Board have failed to ~~apply~~ implement this in my case.

I am an Indian Christian (Age 37 years) and there are passed over turns for this community in this District.

I am a loyal Government Servant and had not taken part in the N.G.G.O's strike of 1947.

I had brought these facts to the notice of the Collector in the first instance on 31-5-1948 and to the Board on 20-1-1949. They have not given me any relief. I therefore pray relief

P.T.O.

17-10½

-4-

pray relief on the following:-

1. to include me in the list of Deputy Tahsildars as my case is within the prerview of the Hon'ble Revenue Minister's statement or in view of my acting as Deputy Tahsildar for more than 2½ years.

2. to restore my seniority lost on account of no fault of mine by declaring my probation to have started on 14-2-1946 the date of my first appointment.

For which act of kindness I shall be ever grateful.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

M.H.Benjamin

Special Dy. Tahsildar,
T.B.P. (W and R) Adoni.

A.D O N I,

March 12th, 1949

Secy to Rev. Deptt
ubmitted to the Government Fort. St. George to save delay.

y submitted to the Hon'ble the Rev. Minister, Govt. of Madras.

y submitted to the Collector, Bellary.

SE
No 485 dated 15-3-49

FORWARDED Thro' the Supdg., Engineer, T.B.P. Canals Circle Bellary.

Mr. Z.N. Benjamin has been working in my Division as a Deputy Tahsildar Welfare and Labour recruitment for the past about six months. Throughout this period I found him quite efficient in his work. He is quick in his actions and prompt in his disposals. He is quite fit to be included in the list of Deputy Thasildars.

M Lmain 15/3
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
T.B.P. Div. Adoni

*****

submitted to the Chief Engineer (Addl. Head) Chepaile Reserv. thro the Supdg. Executive Engineer Canal circle Bellary for favourable consideration.

2/- Sri. Z.N. Benjamin has been working in this department as Spl. Dy. Tah for the last about one year. During this period I have found his work to be very satisfactory. He is intelligent honest, hardworking and obedient. He deserves to be classed in the list of Dy. Tahsildars.

Reserv. 15.3.49
Special Deputy Collector welfare & Recruitment Labour. T.B.P. Dandie Hon=

through Ex. Engr. TBP Adoni also.

Submitted to the Chief Engineer (Additional) Chepauk, Madras, thro' the Superintending Engineer, T.B.P.Canals Circle, Bellary, for favourable consideration.

1. Sri Z.N.Benjamin has been working in this Department as Special Dy.Tahsildar for the last about one year. During this period I have found his work to be very satisfactory. He is intelligent, honest, hard working and obedient. He deserves to be included in the list of Deputy Tahsildars.

Camp Adoni,
15-3-49.

Sd. V.S.Venkat chari
Spl.Dy.Collector, Welfare
and Recruitment of labour
T.B.P.Dam site, Hospet.

/True copy/

No.485-SE, dated 15-3-49.

Forwarded thro' the Supte.Engineer, T.B.P.Canals Circle, Bellary.

Mr. Z.N.Benjamin has been working in my Division as a Deputy Tahsildar Welfare and Labour recruitment for the past about six months. Throughout this period I found him quite efficient in his work. He is quick in his actions and prompt in his disposals. He is quit fit to be included in the list of Deputy Tahsildars.

Sd.M.L.Hussain, 15-3-49.
Exe.Engineer,
T.B.P.Canals Dn., Adoni.

/True copy/

No.-Nil/15.3.49.

Forwarded to the Addl.Chief Engineer(Irrigation) for favourable consideration.

Camp Adoni,
Dated 15-3-49.

Supte.Engineer,
T.B.P.Canals Circl

Endt no. 866/49P.1(1) dt 18.3.49.

Forwarded to Secy to Govt Rev Dept

Addl Chief Engineer

To the Secretary to Government
Revenue Dept.

Addl. Chief

SECRETARY TO GOVT
R16932
REVENUE DEP. MADRAS

Revenue dept

Endt No 16932 Rev 49 R1 T 23 3.49.

Public servants - (Bellary) - R.N. Benyan
Inclusion in the list of Dy Tahs.

~~Original~~ Forwarded to the
Board of Revenue.

23.3.49
under secy to Govt

TSK
22.3.49

23 Sept.

Sd/- Board of Revenue..

14

From,
Sri A.M. Kotraiah,
Taluk Supply Officer,
Yemmiganur, Bellary District.

To,
The Secretary, to the Government,
Revenue Department,
Fort St. George,
MADRAS.

Through the
THE PROPER CHANNEL.

Sir,

Sub:- Kotraiah (Sri) A.M. - Deputy Tahsildar - Bellary district - Inclusion in the list of Tahsildars - Overlooking of claims - Appeal submitted.

***.

I beg to submit that I am acting Deputy Tahsildar in the regular services of the Madras Revenue Subordinate services with four years and nine months service in the cadre of Deputy Tahsildars in Bellary district. I am a Jangam by caste which is a recognised BACKWARD COMMUNITY - vide item No. 39 in the list of backward communities. In Collectors D.Dis.c 7212/46 A4 dated 21-11-46 my probation in the cadre of Deputy Tahsildars was declared to have been compleated satisfactorily on the afternoon of 9-8-46 and my claims for inclusion in the list of Tahsildars have been consecutively overlooked for three years ie., 1946, 1947,& 1948, and this year I find that three juniors have by by passed me.

2. I submit below a list of acting Deputy Tahsildars that were not in the Tahsildars list on 1-4-47 as found in the district establishment list corrected up to 1 st April 1948

Acting Deputy Tahsildars - Who have compleated their probation on or before 1 st April 1947.

1. Sri M.A. Castileno	Christian	Now included.
2. Sri K. Divakar Rao	Brahmin	
3. " A. Audiraj	Non-Brahmin, Hindu.	Included in 1947.
4. " C. Eakembram	Brahmin.	
5. Mr. Md. Nabi Sahib.	Muslim.	
6. Sri D.V. Ramakrishna Char.	Brahmin.	Included in 1947.
7. Sri S. Ramachandraiah Ayyar.	Brahmin.	Included in 1947.
8. Sri B. Bodharaja Char	Brahmin.	
9. Sri I. Rama Rao	Brahmin.	Included in 1948.
10. Sri P. Dhanunjaya Rao Naidu	Non-Brahmin. Hindu.	Now included P.M.O.

11. Sri K. Krishna Murthy Ladalier. Non-Brahmin Hindu. Included in 1948

12. Sri. A.K. Kotraiah Jackward class Non-Brahmin Hindu.

The services of Item Nos.10,11,& 12 were regularised with effect from 10-8-44 and their probation declared to have been completed on 9-8-46.

13. Sri. M. Ramachandra Rao Brahmin
14. Sri, N. Sriniwasa Murthy Brahmin
15. Sri. C. Krishna Murthy Rao Brahmin
16. Sri Y. A. Sriram Brahmin Now included.

Acting Deputy Tahsildars who have not completed their probation on 1-4-47

17. Sri. K. Narasimha Rao Brahmin
18. Sri. J. C. Ratnaiah Christian.
19. Sri. B. Rama Murthy Brahmin.
20. Sri. C.I. Basavana Gowd Non-Brahmin Hindu.
21. Sri. K. Narayanappa Non-Brahmin Hindu. Now included

and fourteen other juniors.

3. After the investure of powers I have worked in the following capacities to the entire satisfaction of superior officers.

1. Special Deputy Tahsildar for fuel Bellary from 17-7-44to 30-9-44.

2. Deputy Tahsildar and Sub-Magistrate, Yemmiganur from 6-10-44 to 5-12-44.

3. Special Deputy Tahsildar for procurement for paddy Kottur from 17-12-44 to 15-3-45

4. Submagistrate Rayadurg from 23-3-45 to 12-1-46.

5. Special Deputy Tahsildar for Land Acquisition Thungabhadra Project, Hospet from 21-1-46to 13-11-4

6. Taluk Supply Officer, Yemmiganur from 19-11-48.

4. After the completion of my Sub-Magistrates course at Rayadurg I was drafted to special duty to work under the Special Officer, Thungabhadra Project as Land Acquisition Deputy Tahsildar, and I was there from 21-1-46 to 13-11-48. I have done about four thousand three hundred acres (4,300 acres) of land acquisition work covering two whole wet villages and two part dry villages. My work as Stationary Sub-Magistrate, Rayadurg was commended by three Sub-Divisional Magistrates viz(1) Sri V. Rangachari(2) Sri Y. Pitcha Reddy,(3) Mr. Farookhi Saheb Bahadur, and I was given to under-understand by Mr. E,S. Nagesh Ayyar, the then Special Deputy Collector No. 1 Thungabhadra project, Hospet under whom I was working in 1946 & 1947 that my divisional sheet was a special one as it contained brilliant remarks

P.T.O.

5. Along with me Sri S. Ramachandra Ayyer, (Item No.7) was also working in the Thungabhadra Project. He also compleated his probation in 1946. We were the two qualified Deputy Tahsildars in special duty in 1946 and I learnt that both of us were recomended by the Special Officer, Thungabhara Project for inclusion in the Tahsildars list in 1946. But unfortunately for me it is said that my name was stopped by the Collector that year and Sri. S. Ramachandra ayyar was recomended and he got inclusion. He is now acting as Special Receiption Tahsildar, Bellary. Along with him Sri A. Audiraj (item No. 3) and Sri D.B.Ramakrishna Char (item No. 6) were included that year

7. Again in 1946 Sri. [illegible]nama Rao (item No. 9) and sri K. Krishnamurthy Madaliar (Item No. 11) were included. Sri K. Krishna murthy Madaliar and my-self stand on a par in the cadre of Deputy Tahsildars. I am a back-ward class non-Brah in Hindu and the concession shown to him overlooking my claims stands to no reason. Item No.10 Sri P. Dhanunjaya Rao Naidu is a junior to me in service as Deputy Tahsildar, as he got his first appointment in the cadre of Deputy Tahsildar in the first week of October 1944, where as I have been acting continueously in that cadre from 10-6-44. But in Collectors Ref.C.No. 1485/46 A4 Dated 7-6-45 the services of Sri P. Dhanunjaya Rao Naidu (2) Sri K. Krishna Murthy Madaliar (3) Sri A.V. Kotraiah (myself) were regularised with effect from 10-8-44 and the gradation list arranged as set out above; and Sri.P. Dhanunjaya Rao Naidu is placed above my name though in fact he was never acting in the cadre of Deputy Tahsildars and drew its emoluments on 10-8-44.

8. I was in special-duty from 21.1-46 to 13-11-48 and was transfered as Taluk Supply Offcer, Yemmiganur just at a time when the annual reports were due and I joined that post on 19-11-48. Evidently I might have missed a report for the short period of 10 or 15 days from the Divisional Officer , or The District Supply Officer, as the case may be; But I was under the special Officer Thungabhadra Project,

P.T.O. Hospet.

till the fag end of the year. When I went to take leave of him on the eve of my handing over charge he was kind enough to remark that I did good work under him and I learn he recomended my case again to the Collector for inclusion in the list of Tahsildars. But to my misfortune I find that my case was not considered again and the following four people that were recomended got inclusion this year.

1. Sri M.A. Castileno (item No. 1)
2. Sri P. Dhanunjaya Rao Naidu (item No. 10)
3. Sri Y. A. Sreeram (item No. 16)
4. Sri K. Narayanappa (item No. 21)

Item Nos 2,3,&4 were working directly under the Collector and it is no falt of mine to have gone to the special duty. Item No. 4 went into the Collectorate as Huzur Head Clerk only in the second fortnight of October 1948 and within a period of about two months he cannot be considered to have turned out any yeoman service to deprive the seniors placed in disadvantageous places of their promotions. There is nothing to credit item No. 3 with any meritorious services to allow him to by pass a backward community man and a senior officer Item Nos 2,3 and 4 are decidedly juniors to me in service as Deputy Tahsildars and they have absolutely no better claims over mine. I never got any punishment in my service as Deputy Tahsildar and there was absolutely no adverse remark against me. But one thing I submit that my initial pay in the revised scales of pay was wrongly fixed at Rs 150/= from 1-1-47 by Sri E.S. Nagesh Ayyar , Special Deputy Collector No. 1 and this was ratified by the special Officer Thungabhadra Project, Bellary. I apealed against this order to the Board of Revenue, Madras and got a favourable order in Boards Resolution No. (routine) 1097 dated 26-2-49 and the Collector in his Ref.C No.2425/49 A4 dated 9-3-49 has refixed my pay at Rs 155/= with effect from 1-1-47.

9. Under these cercumstances I beg to submit that great injustice has been done to me by overlooking my case for inclusion in the Tahsildars list when there were recommendations twice from an Officer of the grade of the

P.T.O.

Collector viz the Special Officer, Thungabhadra Project, Bellary. I come under the backward communities and the preference given to sri K. Krishna Murthy Ladalior in 194x stands to no reason. (1) Sri P. Dhanunjaya Rao Naidu (2) Sri Y. A. Sreeram and (3) Sri K. Narayanappa are juniors to me. If preference is to be given to such juniors who were chanced to work in advantage positions and they are allowed to leap over seniors that have toiled hard in verious special duties there is no justies done in service In the cercumstances I beg to apeal to the mercy of the Benign Government to call for my personal records containing the recomendations of Sri J.A.Dharma Raj, Special Deputy Collector No. 1 T.B.Project Hospet under whom I was working on the date of my relies in special duty and the recomendations of the Special Officer Thungabhadra Project, Bellary ; view my case dispassionatel and with out any prejudice and pass orders including my name in the list of Tahsildars and placing me above Sri K. Krishna Murthy Ladalior..

10. The list of Tahsildars in Bellary District is already over crowded as seven people including the four now included are in the waiting list. I am now nearing the completion of 41 years and if I am not included in the list and ~~given~~ restored my proper place I will miss it for ever and there will be a great in justies done to me . I beg to apeal for JUSTICE and JUSTICE only.

I beg to remain
sir,
Your Most obedient servent.

O. M. D. Kavi
23/3/49.
Taluk Supply Officer, Yemmiganur.

Copy submitted direct to save delay.
Copy Submitted to the Secretary Board of Revenue Madras



NDis 472/49 dt 29/3/49

Submitted to the Collector of Bellary.

Sd/-
29.3.49
Dt Supply Officer
Bellary.

Collector
Bellary.

Contd..->

Memo No 29997 G/49.dt 14.5.49

Public Servants - C Bellaryji - Benjamin, Sri Z.N. and Rt Sri A.M. and P Sebhagiri r.

From the Bd of Rev. Rej D. 5.6

From the Bd. of Rev. Rej D. 2% dated

the Bd of Rev is requested to send the Bfs. No. 49 (C'' + No. 213 (C) dated 5 3 49 which it returned to the Bd. with I. 15326 G/49-2 Rev dated 9.5

Issued 13.5.49

NOTE

Please see the Bd's R+ pl of and ar pleas in the Bd has called the last.

14.5.49

24

served to herein were referred
to vide Proc 15326 dt 9-12-95.49. The Bd may be asked
to send the BOQs for reference.
Thereon pl submits for approval.

USEN

14.5.96

Confidential:

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Subject

PUBLIC SERVANTS - (Bellary) - Benjamin, Sri Z.N. - Kotrayya, Sri A.M. and Seshagiri Rao, Sri P.

- -

Ref.No.D.2663/49-3(C).

Station:-Madras. Dated 26th May 1949.



From

K.N. Anantaraman Esq., I.C.S.,
Secretary, Board of Revenue, Madras.

V.N. Kudva Esq., I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue, Excise & Prohibition
W.R.S. Satthianathan Esq., I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue & Commercial Taxes.

--

Read:- Government Memo.No.29997-G/49-1, Revenue (Confidential dated 14-5-49.

--

REFERENCE:

Board's Proceedings Mis.No.49(Confidential), dated 19-1-49 and B.P.Mis.No.213(Confidential), dated 5-3-49 are submitted to the Government.

for Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Madras
(with B.P.Mis.49(C),d/19-1-49 & B.P.Mis.213(C),d/5-3-

PVV.27.5.

Cyclo Cy ref 73

Memo No. 29947 Cy 49-1 dt 19.7.49

Public Servants - (Ballary) - Sri Z.N. Benjamin - ~~and A.M. Kotraiah~~ - Inclusion in the list of Dy Talukdars. ~~Talukdars~~.

pp 7.12.49 From Sri Z.N. Benjamin, petition dated 12 Sept.

pp 1.2.50 From the Bd of Rev. Reg. D2663/49-2 dated 7.5.49.

The Govt are not prepared to interfere on behalf of Sri Z.N. Benjamin. His petition is rejected.

2. Orders on the petition of Sri A.M. Kotraiah will issue separately.

TSK 19/7/49

19.7.49

To the Bd of Rev. (with the ref of Z.N. Benjamin)

Sri Z.N. Benjamin (paralysed Two Sd)

20/7/49

Cyclo Draft 94/10

DONo 29997 G/49-2 d 19/4/94

[

Public servants. (Bellary & A.M. Kotraiah - Inclusion in the list of Tahs.

From the Bd of Rev. Regd. 2663/49-2 d 7/9/94

Pl. see pp 1-2 cy

The Govt have examined the petition of A.M. Kotraiah, a Dy Tahr in the Bellary dist along with his personal file. They are of the view that he deserves to be included in the list of Tahsildars as he belongs to a community which is very much underrepresented in the

Govt Service. Before however passing final orders in the matter, HHM (LR) would like to discuss the case with the Bd. Member. I am accordingly to request you to intimate to me the date and time when a Member of the Bd. will (be able to) meet HHM (LR).

2. The personal file of the petitioner together with the Bd's files, is enclosed for ref.

Tsn-
18.7.11

19.7.11

To KN Anantaraman
Esq IAS
Secy Bd of Rev.
(with the PF and 2 B.Ps)

Cyclo Draft II 9/4 10

DONo 29997 E/49-2 d 19/4/49

[ ]

Public Servants (Bellary) - A.M. Kotraiah - Inclusion in the list of Tahs.

From the Bd of Rev. Regd 2663/49-2 d 7/5/49

Pp 1-2 cy

The Govt have examined the petition of A.M. Kotraiah, a Dy Tahr in the Bellary dist along with his personal file. They are of the view that he deserves to be included in the list of Tahsildars as he belongs to a community which is very much underrepresented in the

17050 G/6

Confidential
D.O.No.D.2663/49

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
3 AUG 1949
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Board of Revenue, Madras,
Dated the 30th July 1949.

My dear Subramanian,

Public Service Commission - Sri A.M. Kotriah - Inclusion in the list of Tahsildars.

Ref:- Your D.O.No.29997-G/49-2 dated 19-7-1949.

I am to say that Mr. S. Ranganathan, First Member of the Board of Revenue discussed the matter cited above with the Hon'ble Minister (Land Revenue) on the 27th. The Hon'ble Minister (Land Revenue) agreed with Mr. S. Ranganathan that there was no case for including the name of A.M.Kotriah in the approved list of Tahsildars.

Yours sincerely,

To

M.V. Subramanian Esq., I.C.S.,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department
with a personal file.

Copy Govt Memo 12.8.49

P23 Cy

T 14 19 Cy

P 1 Cy

21

Read: Govt Memo No 29997/Sg/49-1 dt 19-7-49

From Sri A.M. Kotraiah petition dt 23.3.49

From the Bd of Rev Reg D. 2663/49-2 dt 7.54

G.O.R.T 961 dt 17.8.49

The Govt are not prepared to interfere on behalf of the petitioner. The petition is rejected

TSK

6 Secy

6 Secy.

9.8.49

The Petitioner

The Bd of Rev

Copy to Bd of Rev with memo

dt 20/8.

RD

(Cr. 2499) Cycl).

Public Servants. (Belief).
Z. N. Benjamin and A.M. Kotraiah.
clerk and Dy Tahr. Inclusion
in the list of Dy Tahrs [illegible].

P.7 12 of
pp 6.18 of
pp [illegible]

From Sri Z.N. Benjamin, petitioner [illegible]
From Sri A.M. Kotraiah petitioner [illegible]
From the Bd of Rev Reg D. 2663/49-2 G.O. 7.54
5 26.54 [illegible].

TOUS

Please see the petition
of Sri Z.N. Benjamin and A.M.
Kotraiah at pages 7-[illegible] and
the Collector's letters at p.
B-6 of. Their cases are dealt
with below:

i. Z.N. Benjamin: He is an
Indian christian, aged 27. He is
technically qualified to hold the
post of a Dy Tahr. He was
~~as temporary~~ Dy Tahr temporarily.
In his annual proposals sent
to the dist for 1947, the Collr.
did not recommend his confirmation
as his procurement work
was not satisfactory. The Bd.
examined his case and ordered
that should wait - vide Board's
summing up of the case at p.
In 1948 also, the Collr. did not
recommend his case as his work
was considered just satisfactory
and should be tried for some more
time. While examining this case
the Bd's Secy observed [illegible]
" a very fair [illegible]

M

2 w 4 4's

2 w 5 5 7 4 x

temporary T.S.O in 1947. May
it." the Commissioners of L.R.
Excise agreed with the Bd's
views as noted at p 14 ng of ⓧ.
The petitioner now requests that
his name may be included in the
list of Dy Tahrs and his seniority
fixed with effect from 14.2.46,
on which he was first appointed
temporary Dy Tahir. In the
circumstances stated at 'A' on pp.
ante, no interference on behalf
of petitioner seems called for.
5. [It may be mentioned in
this connection that the Collector has
been asked to take action against
him for contravening rule 26 of
the Govt servants' conduct Rules
in having allowed the Member
of the Legislative Assembly to further
his ends in the dept vide
note at flag Ⓥ]

---

(2) Sri A.M. Kotraiah: He is a
non Brahmin aged 40. He is
an approved probationer in the
cadre of Dy. Tahrs. In his
annual proposals relating to
the year for 1947, the Collr. did
not recommend his name for
inclusion in the list of tahsildars
on the following reasons that
Sri A.M. Kotraiah S.O., T.B. Project under
training as well working did not
come up to the Board

accepted the recommendation of the Collr. - vide Z and P Proc. In his annual proposals for the Collr. did not propose name for inclusion in the list of Tahsildars for the year reasons:

'Though S.O., T.B. Proc. recommended him, it there are some doubts by Mr. Paul and by the under whom the was not an outstanding to merit inclusion".

Ann P. 1 (X)

The Bd accepted the reasons - vide Memo (X)

The petitioner now states this juncture in the case of By Tahs have been included in the list of Tahs for '47 and '48, that he belongs to a backward community and requests that he may be included in the list of Tahsildars with due seniority.

* Cf. paras 4-5 at pp 5-6 ante

The Collr's letter at pp 4-6 deals with the arguments of the petitioner and may be perused. The Collr. also states that it is not doubtful whether Jangams to which the petitioner belongs can be classified as backward as they are not really so.

X at p. 6

In view of the Collector's remarks above, no interference on behalf of the petitioner is called for. Moreover considerable time has

as Tahsildar. The cases of Sri Y.A. [illegible] and Sri K. Narayanappa, who are this juniors but included by the Govt in the list of Tahsildars, [illegible] at page 7 of the list.

Further, selection to the [illegible] Tahsildars and Dy Tahsildars [illegible] on competitive merit [illegible] seniority being [illegible] where merit and seniority are approximately the same. Selection in the lists of the statutory functionaries and the Govt do not ordinarily interfere with the selection in the matter of orders whether both the petitions are rejected. Subject to [illegible] draft order is [illegible] for approval.

(The personal files of the [illegible] are kept below)

Box

12.7.49

The case of R.M. Kotrayya may be considered for inclusion as he belongs to a community which is very much underrepresented in the Govt. Service. The Bd. Member may discuss with me

15/7

C.14 7/49 letter 31

SECRETARIAT TO GOVERNMENT
15 JUL 1949
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

TOUS

Please see the orders in circulation at p 4 ante.

2. A draft memo regarding the petition of Sri Z.N. Benjamin and a draft D.O to the Bd. with ref. to the minutes of H(PP&R) are submitted for approval.

TSK
18.7.49 68 Secs

19.7.49

p 24 cf
p 26 cf

To the Bd of Rev D.O. No 2999 dated 19 July
From the Bd of Rev. D.O. dated 30 July

TOUS

Please see the orders in circulation at p 4 ante, DO to the Bd at p. 24 cf and the Bd's reply at page 26 cf.

2. In the circumstances stated in the Bd's D.O. at p 26 cf, the petition may be rejected. Draft order below is for approval. The case may be circulated to H(PP&R) for perusal before issue.

TSK
6.8.49 68 Secs

9.8.49

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
16 AUG 1949
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Cir. 11/8

S
11.8.49